Peer Review Plan

Preliminary Title:	Multiple Ways to Measure the Price of Healthy Foods					
Type of Report (ERR, EIB, EB)	EIB					
		[X]	Influential Sci	entific l	nformation	
Agency:	Economic Research Service USDA	[]	Highly Influential Scientific Assessment			
Agency Contact:	Elise Golan, egolan@ers.usda.gov					
Subject of Review:	A common explanation for why most Americans consume diets that do not meet Federal dietary recommendations is that healthier foods are more expensive than less healthy foods. We estimated prices for 4,350 foods using 4 price metrics: the price per calorie, the price per edible gram, the price per average portion size consumed, and the price of meeting food group recommendations in the Dietary Guidelines for Americans 2010. For this study we define "less healthy" foods as those high in saturated fat, added sugars, and/or sodium or foods that do not contain a significant amount of at least one food group, referred to as "moderation foods." The study draws from three data sets: the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) to estimate the types and quantities of foods consumed, the Center for Nutrition Policy and Promotion (CNPP) food prices data base for food prices, and the Pyramid Equivalent Database for information on food group, saturated fat, added sugars, and sodium content.					
Purpose of Review:	The purpose of the review is to ensure the high-quality of the economic analysis, transparent explanation of methods, objective interpretation of results, and effective communication to the intended audience.					
Type of Review:	[] Panel	Review		[X]	Individua	l Reviewers
	[] Altern	native Pr	rocess (Briefly l	Explain)	:	
Timing of Review (Es	st.): Start: 09/022/11	End:	XX/XX/XX	K Co	mpleted:	XX/XX/XX
Number of Reviewers	s: [] 3 or fewer	[X]	4 to 10	[]	More than	n 10
Primary Disciplines/T	Types of Expertise Needed for R	leview:	Economists			
Reviewers selected by: [X] Agency			[] Designated Outside Organization			
		Organ	nization's Name	e:		
Opportunities for Pub If yes, briefly st How: When:	olic Comment? ate how and when these opportu	[] inities w		[X]	No	
Peer Reviewers Provided with Public Comments?			Yes	[X]	No	
Public Nominations Requested for Review Panel?			Yes	[X]	No	
1 aono rommations r	I IC		100	[21]	110	

